



## Practise Quiz – 2 (Modules 6 to 9)

Dr. Niket Kaisare, IIT-Madras

### Instructions

- This practice quiz is intended to give you an overview of you may expect in the final exam
- This quiz is *lengthier* than the exam, since we intend to cover a lot of material from various modules here
- You are not expected to *memorize* the formulae. Instead, focus on *understanding* the techniques, derivation strategy, and method to solve problems.
- I personally believe in problem solving as best way to learn. In order to promote that, I will repeat approx. 15% worth material from the practise tests and assignments in the final exam.
- You will require a calculator for the exam. You should be comfortable using the calculator. Please practise well.

### Prob. 1: Multiple Choice Questions

In the following problems, indicate only one correct answer. Each correct answer earns 2 points, and wrong answer loses -1 point. There is no negative marking for not attempting the question.

1. “Quadrature” refers to
  - a. A method to obtain roots of a nonlinear equation
  - b. Quadratic approximation of a function
  - c. Inner (dot) product with quadratic weighting functions
  - d. Representing integral as a weighted sum of function values at certain points
2. Which of the following methods can be used to solve Stiff ODEs:
  - a. Midpoint Method
  - b. Adaptive step-size Runge Kutta
  - c. Explicit Euler’s method
  - d. None of the above are suitable for stiff ODEs
3. The optimum step-size  $h$  that will give highest accuracy for the numerical differentiation:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} = \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2} + \vartheta(h^2)$$

- a.  $h \propto \sqrt{\epsilon}$
  - b.  $h \propto \epsilon^2$
  - c.  $h \propto \epsilon^{2/3}$
  - d. None of the above
4. Which of the following is true about a general ODE Boundary Value Problem (BVP)?
    - a. Finite difference approximation leads to linear algebraic equations
    - b. One can always find an analytical solution to any ODE-BVP



- c. Neumann and mixed boundary conditions are handled using “ghost point” approach
- d. It can be solved using “method of lines”

5. Which of the following is not a closed formula for integration?

- a. Trapezoidal Rule
- b. Simpson’s Rule
- c. Cubic Spline
- d. None of the above

**Prob. 2: Objective Type Questions**

1. Write down the Simpson’s 3/8<sup>th</sup> rule to compute

$$\int_0^{15} f(x) dx$$

if the entire domain is split into 15 equal intervals. Don’t leave the solution in terms of  $h$ . Single implementation of Simpson’s 3/8<sup>th</sup> rule:  $\frac{3h}{8} (f(a) + 3f(a + h) + 3f(a + 2h) + f(a + 3h))$ .

- 2. Technique to solve an ODE-BVP, where one assumes an initial condition and solves the ODE repeatedly to match the desired boundary value is known as \_\_\_\_\_ method.
- 3. In error analysis for differentiation as well as other methods, which type of error increased as the step-size,  $h$ , was decreased?
- 4. The Laplace equation,  $\nabla^2 T = 0$ , is an example of (parabolic / hyperbolic / elliptic) PDE.
- 5. Give an example of Neumann boundary condition for #4 above.

**Prob. 3: Short Answer Questions**

1. Explain how you will use Trapezoidal method to numerically evaluate the integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx$$

- 2. Our friend, Saanvi, says that solving the ODE problem:  $y' = e^{-t}$ ,  $y(0) = 0$  using single step of Heun’s method with  $h = 1$  is numerically equivalent to using single application of the Trapezoidal rule. Do you agree or disagree? Please explain.
- 3. Each step of RK-4 method requires four computations of the ODE function, whereas Euler’s method requires just one. Explain briefly why RK-4 is still preferred over Euler’s method.



---

**Prob. 4: Numerical Differentiation to find a Jacobian**

Find numerical Jacobian for the following function of two variables at (1, 1) using central difference formula.

$$f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} xe^{-y} \\ x^2 + 3xy + y^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Choose appropriate step-size to minimize errors, assuming the calculator precision is  $10^{-12}$ .

**Prob. 5: ODE-Initial Value Problem using RK-2 Midpoint Method**

Consider the following ODE-IVP:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = te^{-y}, \quad y(0) = 0$$

Solve the ODE-IVP using RK-2 Midpoint Method with  $h = 0.5$  to obtain  $y(1)$ .

**Prob. 6: ODE-IVP Using Euler's Method and Compare with RK-2**

Re-solve the above problem using explicit Euler's method with  $h = 0.25$  to obtain  $y(1)$ .

Obtain the true solution of the original equation algebraically. Compare the errors obtained using RK-2 and Euler's methods.

[Note to students: Use this result to understand what your answer should be for Prob. 3–3.]

**Prob. 7: Error Analysis for Numerical Differentiation**

- Show that the following four-point backward difference formula can be used to compute the second derivative:

$$f''(x_i) = \frac{2f(x_i) - 5f(x_{i-1}) + 4f(x_{i-2}) - f(x_{i-3})}{h^2}$$

- Show that the truncation error of the above formula is  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$

**Hint:** Use Taylor's series expansion for each of the terms on the right hand side of the final equation. Retain up to fourth derivatives in each of these Taylor's Series Expansion.

**Prob. 8: Comparing integration formulae**

For  $h = 1$ , compare the results from Trapezoidal rule and Simpson's  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  rule for computing

$$\int_0^6 \frac{dt}{1+t}$$

by comparing the numerical value of the integral with its true value,  $I = \ln(7)$ .



### Prob. 9: Shooting Method

Warning: This is a lengthy problem

We will use the shooting method to solve the following ODE-BVP:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + 3\frac{dy}{dt} - e^y = 0$$

$$y(0) = 0 \quad y'(1) = 0$$

- Substituting  $z = y'$ , write down the above equation as a set of two first-order ODEs with appropriate boundary conditions
- Replace the second boundary condition with an initial condition  $y'(0) = 0$ , resulting in an ODE-IVP. Solve this ODE-IVP using explicit Euler's method with step size  $h = 0.25$ .
- Solving the ODE-IVP with Euler's method, we will also obtain  $y'(1)$ . Note down this value of  $y'(1)$ , which corresponds to the initial condition of  $y'(0) = 0$ .
- Repeat the above two steps for another initial condition,  $y'(0) = -10$ . Again note the value of  $y'(1)$ . Verify that the sign of  $y'(1)$  has changed when we changed the initial condition.
- Use a bracketing method to get the next guess of  $y'(0)$ . With this new guess of  $y'(0)$ , solve the ODE-IVP. Note down the new value of  $y'(1)$ .
- Use the bracketing method again to determine new guess of  $y'(0)$ .  
[You do not need to solve the ODE-IVP again for this exercise.]

### Prob. 10: Finite Difference Scheme

The above problem is to be solved using a second-order accurate finite difference scheme with a step-size of  $h = 0.2$ . Write down the resultant equations.

### Prob. 11: Method of Lines

We wish to solve the following parabolic PDE to obtain  $T(t, x)$  using method of lines:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + g(T)$$

The boundary conditions are  $T(t, 0) = 100$ ,  $T(t, 1) = 30$ . Using a step-size of  $h = 0.2$ , use method of lines to convert the above PDE into a set of ODEs. The initial condition is that the value of  $T$  is uniformly 100 at time  $t = 0$ .



## Formulae and Hints

### Numerical Differentiation

Forward difference formula

$$f'(x_i) = \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)}{h}$$

Backward difference formula

$$f'(x_i) = \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{h}$$

Central difference formula

$$f'(x_i) = \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i-1}))}{2h}$$

Central difference formula (second derivative)

$$f''(x_i) = \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - 2f(x_i) + f(x_{i-1}))}{h^2}$$

Forward difference formula (second derivative)

$$f''(x_i) = \frac{f(x_{i+2}) - 2f(x_{i+1}) + f(x_i)}{h^2}$$

### Numerical Integration

Trapezoidal Rule

$$\frac{h}{2} [f(a) + f(a + h)]$$

Simpson's 1/3<sup>rd</sup> Rule

$$\frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 4f(a + h) + f(a + 2h)]$$

Simpson's 3/8<sup>th</sup> Rule

$$\frac{h}{3} [f(a) + 3f(a + h) + 3f(a + 2h) + f(a + 3h)]$$

### Runge-Kutta (Classic) formulae

In all the formulae below,  $k_1 = f(y_i, t_i)$

RK-2: Heun's

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{2} [k_1 + k_2]$$

$$k_2 = f(y_i + hk_1, t_i + h)$$

RK-2: Midpoint

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h[k_2]$$

$$k_2 = f\left(y_i + \frac{h}{2}k_1, t_i + \frac{h}{2}\right)$$

RK-4 Classic

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \frac{h}{6} [k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4]$$

$$k_2 = f\left(y_i + \frac{h}{2}k_1, t_i + \frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$k_3 = f\left(y_i + \frac{h}{2}k_2, t_i + \frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$k_4 = f(y_i + hk_3, t_i + h)$$